United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

ICHOLAS CHARLES LOOK	Case Number:	1:05-CR-148	

NICI	HOL	LAS CHARLES LOOK	Case Number: 1:05-CR-148		
requir	In ac	ccordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C.§31 detention of the defendant pending trial in this ca	42(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts ase.		
		Part I -	Findings of Fact		
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense describe			scribed in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal seen a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had		
		a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C	.§3156(a)(4).		
		an offense for which the maximum senter	nce is life imprisonment or death.		
		an offense for which the maximum term	of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in		
		a felony that was committed after the defer U.S.C.§3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable s	ndant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 tate or local offenses.		
	(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committee	d while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local		
	(3)	offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed s the offense described in finding (1).	e the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for		
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the safety of (an)other person	e presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this		
		presumption. Alterna	ate Findings (A)		
X	(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defe			
		for which a maximum term of imprisonme under 18 U.S.C.§924(c).	ent of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq		
X	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption	n established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will dant as required and the safety of the community.		
		Altern	ate Findings (B)		
X	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will no			
X	(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will en	ndanger the safety of another person or the community.		
		Part II - Written Staten	nent of Reasons for Detention		
I find th	at th	e credible testimony and information submit	ted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence that		
		(s) will assure the safety of the community on. Defendant waived a detention hearing in o	or the appearance of the defendant based upon the unrebutted open court with his attorney's presence.		
		Part III - Direct	ions Regarding Detention		
The facility s defendar or on red States m	defe eparant nt sha quest narsh	endant is committed to the custody of the Attorned ate, to the extent practicable, from persons aw all be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private tof an attorney for the Government, the person all for the purpose of an appearance in connecti	ey General or his designated representative for confinement in a correction aiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United State in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United on with a court proceeding.		
Dated: June 16, 2005		ne 16, 2005	/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr.		
Dated.			Signature of Judicial Officer		
			Hugh W. Brenneman, United States Magistrate Judge		

Name and Title of Judicial Officer